UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,141	06/16/2005	Simon Murray Cooper	PG5049USw	2235
GLAXOSMITHKLINE GLOBAL PATENTS, C.2111F FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			EXAMINER	
			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM ELAINE.X.MARTENS@GSK.COM PATRICIA.T.WILSON@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,141	COOPER ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ELIZABETH L. MCKANE	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection			
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of	
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review	
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:			
	/Elizabeth L McKane/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 1797	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100724 Part of Paper No. 20100724